

BAB V

KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN

A. KESIMPULAN

Berdasarkan penelitian yang telah dilakukan dapat disimpulkan bahwa kombinasi Avicel PH 101 dan PVP melalui *co-processing* dengan metode *spray drying* menghasilkan material *co-processed excipients* dengan sifat fisik yang sesuai untuk material kempa langsung. Material *co-processed excipients* kombinasi Avicel PH 101 dan PVP memenuhi syarat fluiditas dan kompaktibilitas. *Co-processing excipients* mampu memperbaiki sifat fisik excipien tunggal sehingga mampu menjadi excipien pilihan bagi metode kempa langsung.

Formula optimum dengan metode optimasi *Simplex Lattice Design* dari penelitian ini diperoleh pada perbandingan 95% Avicel PH 101 dan 5% PVP yang menunjukkan sifat material *co-processed excipients* dan sifat fisik tablet yang menurunkan waktu alir, kerapuhan dan waktu hancur tablet serta meningkatkan kompaktibilitas, kekerasan dan jumlah obat yang terdisolusi.

B. SARAN

Co-processed excipients campuran Avicel PH 101 dan PVP dapat digunakan sebagai *filler-binder* pada pembuatan tablet dengan zat aktif yang lebih larut dalam air.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Anonim. 1979. Farmakope Indonesia. Edisi ke-III. Departemen Kesehatan RI.
- Anonim. 1995. Farmakope Indonesia. Edisi ke-IV. Departemen Kesehatan RI.
- Ansel H.C. 1989. Pengantar Bentuk Sediaan Farmasi. Ibrahim F, Asmanizar, Aisyah I, penerjemah; Jakarta: UI Pr. Terjemahan dari: *Introduction of Pharmaceutical Dosage Form*.
- Armstrong, Lola L., Lacy, Charles F., Ingram, Naomi B., Lance, Leonard L. 1998. Drug Information Handbook. Ed ke-6. USA : Lexi-Comp.
- Auterhoff H, Kovar KA. 1981. Identifikasi Obat. Edisi ke-5. Sugiarto N.C., penerjemah. Mansoor Sofia, editor. Bandung : ITB. Hlm 93. Terjemahan dari: *Identifizierung von Arzneistoffen*.
- Awasthi R, Deepak, Garg G, Pawar V, Sharma G, Kulkarni GT. 2010. Development of directly co-processed excipients for solid dosage forms. *Der Pharmacia Lettre* 2(6):151-165.
- Ayyappan J, Umapathi P, Quine D. 2010. Development and evaluation of a directly compressible co-processed multifunction sustained release agent for isosorbide mononitrate sustained release tablets. *IJPSR* 1(10):103-113.
- Bansal A.K, Nachaegari S.K. 2004. Coprocessed excipients for solid dosage forms. *Pharmaceutical Technology* :52-64
- Bastos M de, Friedrich R.B, Beck R.C. 2008. Effects of filler-binders and lubricants on physicochemical properties of tablets obtained by direct compression: A 22 Factorial Design. *Lat. Am. J. Pharm.* 27(4):578-583.
- Bolton S, Bon C. 2010. Pharmaceutical Statistics Practical and Clinical Applications. Ed ke-5. New York : Informa Healthcare.
- Dressman J, Kramer J. 2005. Pharmaceutical Dissolution Testing. USA: Taylor & Francis Group.
- Fudholi, A. 2013. Disolusi dan Pelepasan Obat In Vitro. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Gandjar IG, Rohman A. 2009. Kimia Farmasi Analisis. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.
- Gohel M.C, Jogani P.D. 2005. A review of co-processed directly compressible excipients. *J Pharm Pharmaceut Sci* 8(1):76-93,

- Gupta P, Satish NK., Bansal AK. 2006. Excipient Development for Pharmaceutical, Biotechnology and Drug Delivery System. Katdare Ashok, Chaubal Mahesh V., editor. New York : Informa Healthcare USA Inc.
- Lachman L, Lieberman HA, Kanig JL, 1994. Teori dan Praktek Farmasi Industri. Edisi ke-3. Suyatmi, Siti, penerjemah. Jakarta : UI-Pr. Terjemahan dari: *Theory and Practice of Industrial Pharmacy*.
- Marwaha M, Sandhui D, Mawrwaha RK. 2010. Coprocessing of excipients : A review on excipient developmpent for improved tableting performance. *International Journal of Applied Pharm* 2:41-47
- Olowosulu AK, Oyi A, Isah AB, Ibrahim MA. 2011. Formulation and evaluation of novel coprocessed excipients of maize starch and acacia gum (StarAc) for direct compression tableting. *International Journal of Pharmaceutical Research and Innovation* 2:39-45.
- Patel RP, Bhavsar MS. 2009. Direct compressible material via co-processing. *International Journal of Pharm Tech Research* 1(3):745-753
- Patel SS, Patel NM. 2009. Development of directly compressible co-processed excipients for dispersible tablets using 3^2 Full Factorial Design. *International Journal of Pharmacy and Pharmaceutical Sciences* 1:125-148.
- Rowe RC, Sheskey PJ, Quinn ME. 2009. Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients. Ed ke-6. USA: Pharmaceutical Press and American Pharmacists Association.
- Sa'adah H, Fudholi A. 2010. Optimasi formula tablet teofillin menggunakan *co-processed excipients* campuran laktosa dan avicel. Di dalam: Hertiani Triana, Ikawati Zullies, Wahyono Djoko, editor. Prosiding Seminar Nasional *Eight Star Performance Pharmacist*; Yogyakarta 27 Desember 2010. Yogyakarta: UGM.
- Siregar C, Wikarsa S. 2010. Teknologi Farmasi Sediaan Tablet Dasar-dasar Praktis. Jakarta: EGC.
- Sofyan, HL, Arsyadi. 2009. Penggunaan maltodekstrin dari pati beras (*Oryza sativa*) sebagai bahan pengikat tablet asetosal. *Jurnal Sains dan Teknologi Farmasi* 14(1):740-747.
- Sudjadi, Rohman Al. 2004. Analisis Obat dan Makanan. Yogyakarta: Pustaka Pelajar.

- Surawase RK, Surana SS, Maru AD, Malpure PS. 2011. Development of directly compressible co-excipient by spray drying technique. *Int.J.Pharm.Phytopharmacol.Res.* 1(1): 35-47.
- Tjay TH, Rahardja K. 2002. Obat-Obat Penting Khasiat, Penggunaan dan Efek-Efek Sampingnya. Ed ke-2. Jakarta: PT. Elex Media Komputindo Kelompok Gramedia.
- Wang Xin. 2007. Physico-chemical characterization of binary and ternary solid dispersions of itraconazole and indomethacin. *International Journal Pharm.* 272 : 18-23.
- Watson David. 2005. Analisis Farmasi : untuk Mahasiswa Farmasi dan Kimia Farmasi. Ed ke-2. Syarif W.R, penerjemah; Hadinata A.H, editor. Jakarta: Buku Kedokteran EGC. Terjemahan dari: *Pharmaceutical Analysis : A Text Book for Pharmacy Students and Pharmaceutical Chemists.*
- Wicaksono Y, Witono Y, Herlina, Nuri. 2010. Ko-prosesing pati garut-kitosan secara *spray drying*. *Jurnal Farmasi Indonesia* 21(3):171 – 177.
- Wicaksono Y, Witono Y, Herlina, Nuri. 2010. Preparasi dan evaluasi eksipien ko-proses pati singkong-kitosan yang dibuat secara *spray drying*. *Jurnal Farmasi Indonesia* 5(2):78 -84.
- Yousuf RI, Shoaib MH, Ali SA, Haque N. 2005. Use of avicel and spray dried lactose in the dDevelopment of levofloxacin 250mg tablets by direct compression method. *Pakistan Journal of Pharmacology* 22:67-74.
- Voight R. 1994. Buku Ajar Teknologi Farmasi. Edisi ke-5. Soewandhi S.N., penerjemah. Yogyakarta: UGM press. Terjemahan dari: *Lehrbuch Der Pharmazeutischen Technologie.*

Lampiran



Novacyl 0.1% Your reference

11070 RHODINE 3223 / ACETYLSALICYLIC ACID CRUM 60 RG /

R-ACIA POS : 230 FP R-AC3223 - Dec 2010

NOVACYL 0.1% - 400-000-PP-1113-M

Batch: PRH120553 / Manufacturing site: 0003 SMI/Se-Test date: 03.03.2015 / Quantity: 3,223 KG

Page
2

Anhydride of salicylic acid ITSC	%	< 0.000	-	0.760
Acetylsalicylic acid ITSC	%	< 0.000	-	0.100
Salicylic acid ITSC	%	< 1.000	-	0.150
4-Hydroxybenzoic acid ITSC	%	< 0.000	-	0.100
4-Hydroxyacetate acid ITSC	%	< 0.000	-	0.700
Free salicylic acid ITSC	%	< 0.000	-	0.150
Unspecified Impurities<0.05% MAD	-	None	-	-
Sum of related substances ITSC	%	< 0.000	-	0.700
Retained on 63 µm sieve ALPANA	%	23.7	0.1	70.0
Retained on 200 µm sieve	%	0.7	-	1.0

Batch released on: 14.03.2012

Person responsible for batch release: Gerard Corbis, QA Manager

R0220-01R-2
18.12.2011

Lampiran 2. Pemeriksaan sifat fisik dari material *co-processed excipients*

Uji Mutu Fisik Material <i>co-processed excipients</i>									
Formula	Waktu alir (detik)	Sudut diam (°)	Kandungan Lembab (%)	Kompaktibilitas (kg)	Daya serap air (g/menit)	Berat Jenis Nyata	Berat Jenis Mampat	Indeks carr (%)	Percent spray-dried yield (%)
I	6,250	30,890	5,500	4,600	0,321	0,401	0,414	2,997	8,381
	6,660	30,350	6,000	5,000	0,254	0,409	0,422	3,000	
	6,830	30,300	5,500	5,000	0,278	0,406	0,414	2,001	
	π : 6,580	30,510	5,670	4,870	0,284	0,405	0,417	2,666	
	SD : 0,300	0,330	0,290	0,230	0,034	0,004	0,005	0,580	
II	7,490	29,660	6,000	6,600	0,202	0,405	0,418	2,994	5,836
	7,830	29,240	5,500	6,600	0,154	0,402	0,410	1,999	
	7,820	29,220	6,000	6,700	0,113	0,393	0,405	2,994	
	π : 7,710	29,370	5,830	6,630	0,156	0,400	0,411	2,662	
	SD : 0,190	0,250	0,290	0,060	0,045	0,006	0,006	0,580	
III	7,860	32,620	6,000	6,700	0,284	0,387	0,403	3,998	7,395
	7,340	30,770	6,000	6,700	0,260	0,394	0,406	2,994	
	7,860	33,230	6,000	6,800	0,133	0,404	0,416	2,993	
	π : 7,690	32,200	6,000	6,730	0,225	0,395	0,408	3,329	
	SD : 0,300	1,280	0,000	0,060	0,081	0,008	0,007	0,580	

Lampiran 3. Pemeriksaan keseragaman bobot tablet Asetosal dengan material *co-processed excipients*

Replikasi	Formula		
	I	II	III
1	0.1986	0.2011	0.2006
2	0.1981	0.2001	0.2005
3	0.1988	0.1995	0.1999
4	0.1982	0.1991	0.1995
5	0.1982	0.1983	0.2001
6	0.1984	0.1989	0.2001
7	0.1979	0.1996	0.2004
8	0.1985	0.1989	0.2005
9	0.1969	0.1998	0.1997
10	0.2000	0.1995	0.1996
11	0.1994	0.2013	0.2005
12	0.1984	0.2010	0.2002
13	0.1992	0.1992	0.1998
14	0.1978	0.1996	0.2004
15	0.1988	0.1998	0.2001
16	0.1971	0.1995	0.2004
17	0.1997	0.2004	0.1998
18	0.1979	0.2011	0.1999
19	0.1990	0.1994	0.1974
20	0.1916	0.2000	0.2003
Rata-rata (gram)	0.1981	0.1998	0.2000
SD	0,0017	0,0008	0,0007
CV (%)	0.9375	0.3468	0.2100

Perhitungan keseragaman bobot tablet Asetosal menurut Farmakope Indonesia edisi III

Formula I

Bobot rata-rata 20 tablet = 0,1981 gram

a. Penyimpangan 7,5% = $\frac{7,5}{100} \times 0,1981 \text{ gram} = 0,0149 \text{ gram}$

Jadi berat tablet Asetosal 200 ± 14,9 mg (185,1 - 214,9 mg)

b. Penyimpangan 15% = $\frac{15}{100} \times 0,1981 \text{ gram} = 0,0297 \text{ gram}$

Jadi berat tablet Asetosal 200 ± 29,7 mg (170,3 – 229,7 mg)

Formula II

Bobot rata-rata 20 tablet = 0,1998 gram

a. Penyimpangan 7,5% = $\frac{7,5}{100} \times 0,1998 \text{ gram} = 0,0150 \text{ gram}$

Jadi berat tablet Asetosal 200 ± 15,0 mg (185 - 215 mg)

b. Penyimpangan 15% = $\frac{15}{100} \times 0,1998 \text{ gram} = 0,0300 \text{ gram}$

Jadi berat tablet Asetosal 200 ± 30,0 mg (170 – 220 mg)

Formula III

Bobot rata-rata 20 tablet = 0,2000 gram

a. Penyimpangan 7,5% = $\frac{7,5}{100} \times 0,2000 \text{ gram} = 0,0150 \text{ gram}$

Jadi berat tablet Asetosal 200 ± 15 mg (185 - 215 mg)

b. Penyimpangan 15% = $\frac{15}{100} \times 0,2000 \text{ gram} = 0,0300 \text{ gram}$

Jadi berat tablet Asetosal 200 ± 30 mg (170 – 230 mg)

Lampiran 4. Pemeriksaan mutu fisik tablet Asetosal dengan material *co-processed excipients*

Uji Mutu Tablet Asetosal					
Formula	Kekerasan (kg)	Kerapuhan			Waktu Hancur (menit)
		Berat Sebelum	Berat Sesudah	Kerapuhan (%)	
Formula I	5,300	3,964	3,938	0,653	1,817
	5,400	3,975	3,948	0,682	1,833
	5,400	3,971	3,945	0,673	1,517
	π :	5,367		0,669	1,722
	SD :	0,058		0,014	0,178
Formula II	8,400	3,995	3,975	0,496	8,567
	8,500	3,991	3,972	0,474	8,933
	8,600	4,018	3,999	0,463	8,833
	π :	8,500		0,478	8,778
	SD :	0,100		0,016	0,189
Formula III	8,200	4,002	3,981	0,535	4,333
	8,300	4,005	3,984	0,514	4,866
	8,300	4,021	3,999	0,547	4,583
	π :	8,267		0,532	4,594
	SD :	0,058		0,016	0,267

Lampiran 5. Pemeriksaan disolusi tablet Asetosal dengan material *co-processed excipients*

Formula I

Replikasi I dengan berat tablet 199 mg

Waktu (menit)	Absorbansi	FP	Konsentrasi (ppm)	Konsentrasi (mg/500ml)	Faktor Koreksi	Kadar + Faktor Koreksi	Kadar (%)
5	0.247	1	66.118	33.059	0.000	33.059	41.531
10	0.279	1	75.529	37.765	0.661	38.426	48.274
15	0.327	1	89.647	44.824	0.755	45.579	57.260
30	0.489	1	137.294	68.647	0.896	69.544	87.366
45	0.495	1	139.059	69.529	1.373	70.902	89.073
60	0.512	1	144.059	72.029	1.391	73.420	92.236

Replikasi II dengan berat tablet 199,3 mg

Waktu (menit)	Absorbansi	FP	Konsentrasi (ppm)	Konsentrasi (mg/500ml)	Faktor Koreksi	Kadar + Faktor Koreksi	Kadar (%)
5	0.197	1	51.412	25.706	0.000	25.706	32.245
10	0.286	1	77.588	38.794	0.514	39.308	49.308
15	0.367	1	101.412	50.706	0.776	51.482	64.578
30	0.468	1	131.118	65.559	1.014	66.573	83.508
45	0.487	1	136.706	68.353	1.311	69.664	87.386
60	0.499	1	140.235	70.118	1.367	71.485	89.670

Replikasi III dengan berat tablet 200,6 mg

Waktu (menit)	Absorbansi	FP	Konsentrasi (ppm)	Konsentrasi (mg/500ml)	Faktor Koreksi	Kadar + Faktor Koreksi	Kadar (%)
5	0.198	1	51.706	25.853	0.000	25.853	32.220
10	0.252	1	67.588	33.794	0.517	34.311	42.761
15	0.304	1	82.882	41.441	0.676	42.117	52.489
30	0.453	1	126.706	63.353	0.829	64.182	79.987
45	0.478	1	134.059	67.029	1.267	68.296	85.115
60	0.503	1	141.412	70.706	1.341	72.046	89.789

Formula II

Replikasi I dengan berat tablet 199,6 mg

Waktu (menit)	Absorbansi	FP	Konsentrasi (ppm)	Konsentrasi (mg/500ml)	Faktor Koreksi	Kadar + Faktor Koreksi	Kadar (%)
5	0.087	1	19.059	9.529	0.000	9.529	11.936
10	0.169	1	43.176	21.588	0.191	21.779	27.278
15	0.189	1	49.059	24.529	0.432	24.961	31.264
30	0.285	1	77.294	38.647	0.491	39.138	49.020
45	0.369	1	102.000	51.000	0.773	51.773	64.846
60	0.403	1	112.000	56.000	1.020	57.020	71.418

Replikasi II dengan berat tablet 198,5 mg

Waktu (menit)	Absorbansi	FP	Konsentrasi (ppm)	Konsentrasi (mg/500ml)	Faktor Koreksi	Kadar + Faktor Koreksi	Kadar (%)
5	0.081	1	17.294	8.647	0.000	8.647	10.891
10	0.161	1	40.824	20.412	0.173	20.585	25.925
15	0.182	1	47.000	23.500	0.408	23.908	30.111
30	0.273	1	73.765	36.882	0.470	37.352	47.043
45	0.342	1	94.059	47.029	0.738	47.767	60.160
60	0.414	1	115.235	57.618	0.941	58.558	73.751

Replikasi III dengan berat tablet 199,5 mg

Waktu (menit)	Absorbansi	FP	Konsentrasi (ppm)	Konsentrasi (mg/500ml)	Faktor Koreksi	Kadar + Faktor Koreksi	Kadar (%)
5	0.085	1	18.471	9.235	0.000	9.235	11.573
10	0.166	1	42.294	21.147	0.185	21.332	26.732
15	0.222	1	58.765	29.382	0.423	29.805	37.350
30	0.283	1	76.706	38.353	0.588	38.941	48.798
45	0.389	1	107.882	53.941	0.767	54.708	68.557
60	0.419	1	116.706	58.353	1.079	59.432	74.476

Formula III

Replikasi I dengan berat tablet 200,4 mg

Waktu (menit)	Absorbansi	FP	Konsentrasi (ppm)	Konsentrasi (mg/500ml)	Faktor Koreksi	Kadar + Faktor Koreksi	Kadar (%)
5	0.076	1	15.824	7.912	0.000	7.912	9.870
10	0.121	1	29.059	14.529	0.158	14.688	18.323
15	0.206	1	54.059	27.029	0.291	27.320	34.082
30	0.367	1	101.412	50.706	0.541	51.246	63.930
45	0.384	1	106.412	53.206	1.014	54.220	67.640
60	0.483	1	135.529	67.765	1.064	68.829	85.864

Replikasi II dengan berat tablet 200,3 mg

Waktu (menit)	Absorbansi	FP	Konsentrasi (ppm)	Konsentrasi (mg/500ml)	Faktor Koreksi	Kadar + Faktor Koreksi	Kadar (%)
5	0.054	1	9.353	4.676	0.000	4.676	5.837
10	0.110	1	25.824	12.912	0.094	13.005	16.232
15	0.254	1	68.176	34.088	0.258	34.346	42.869
30	0.355	1	97.882	48.941	0.682	49.623	61.936
45	0.383	1	106.118	53.059	0.979	54.038	67.446
60	0.440	1	122.882	61.441	1.061	62.502	78.011

Replikasi III dengan berat tablet 199,6 mg

Waktu (menit)	Absorbansi	FP	Konsentrasi (ppm)	Konsentrasi (mg/500ml)	Faktor Koreksi	Kadar + Faktor Koreksi	Kadar (%)
5	0.059	1	10.824	5.412	0.000	5.412	6.778
10	0.124	1	29.941	14.971	0.108	15.079	18.886
15	0.222	1	58.765	29.382	0.299	29.682	37.177
30	0.332	1	91.118	45.559	0.588	46.146	57.799
45	0.342	1	94.059	47.029	0.911	47.941	60.046
60	0.479	1	134.353	67.176	0.941	68.117	85.317

Lampiran 6. Hasil uji statistik dan persamaan berdasarkan *Simplex Lattice Design* dengan program *Design Expert 8,0,6*

Response 1 waktu alir

ANOVA for Quadratic Mixture Model

*** Mixture Component Coding is L_Pseudo. ***

Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares - Type III]

Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F Value	p-value Prob > F	Source
Model		0.84	2	0.42	
Linear Mixture		0.62	1	0.62	
AB		0.22	1	0.22	
Pure Error		0.000	0		
Cor Total		0.84	2		
Std. Dev.	R-Squared		1.0000		
Mean		7.33		Adj R-Squared	
C.V. %	Pred R-Squared			N/A	
PRESS		N/A		Adeq Precision	0.000

Case(s) with leverage of 1.0000: Pred R-Squared and PRESS statistic not defined
 "Adeq Precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio of 0.00 indicates an inadequate signal and we should not use this model to navigate the design space.

Coefficient	Standard Estimate	Standard df	95% CI Error	95% CI Low	High	VIF
A-Avicel	6.58	1				1.25
B-PVP	7.69	1				1.25
AB2.30	1				1.50	

Final Equation in Terms of L_Pseudo Components:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{waktu alir} &= \\ &+6.58 * A \\ &+7.69 * B \\ &+2.30 * A * B \end{aligned}$$

Final Equation in Terms of Real Components:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{waktu alir} &= \\ &+5.58375 * \text{Avicel} \\ &-1317.91625 * \text{PVP} \\ &+1437.50000 * \text{Avicel} * \text{PVP} \end{aligned}$$

Final Equation in Terms of Actual Components:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{waktu alir} &= \\ &+0.047724 * \text{Avicel} \\ &-11.26424 * \text{PVP} \\ &+0.10501 * \text{Avicel} * \text{PVP} \end{aligned}$$

Response 2 kompaktilitas

ANOVA for Quadratic Mixture Model

*** Mixture Component Coding is L_Pseudo. ***

Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares - Type III]

Sum of Source	Squares	df	Mean	F Square	p-value Prob > F
Model	2.19	2	1.09		
Linear Mixture	1.73	1	1.73		
AB	0.46	1	0.46		
Pure Error	0.000	0			
Cor Total	2.19	2			
Std. Dev.				R-Squared	1.0000
Mean	6.08			Adj R-Squared	
C.V. %				Pred R-Squared	N/A
PRESS	N/A			Adeq Precision	0.000

Case(s) with leverage of 1.0000: Pred R-Squared and PRESS statistic not defined
 "Adeq Precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio of 0.00 indicates an inadequate signal and we should not use this model to navigate the design space.

Coefficient Component	Estimate	Standard Error	95% CI Low	95% CI High	VIF
A-Avicel	4.87	1			1.25
B-PVP	6.73	1			1.25
AB3.32	1			1.50	

Final Equation in Terms of L_Pseudo Components:

$$\text{kompaktilitas} = +4.87 * A + 6.73 * B + 3.32 * A * B$$

Final Equation in Terms of Real Components:

$$\text{kompaktilitas} = +3.36750 * \text{Avicel} - 1900.63250 * \text{PVP} + 2075.00000 * \text{Avicel} * \text{PVP}$$

Final Equation in Terms of Actual Components:

$$\text{kompaktilitas} = +0.028782 * \text{Avicel} - 16.24472 * \text{PVP} + 0.15158 * \text{Avicel} * \text{PVP}$$

Response 3 kekerasan

ANOVA for Quadratic Mixture Model

*** Mixture Component Coding is L_Pseudo. ***

Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares - Type III]

Sum of Source	Squares	Mean df	F Square	p-value Value Prob > F
Model		6.09	2	3.04
<i>Linear Mixture</i>		4.20	1	4.20
<i>AB</i>		1.88	1	1.88
Pure Error	0.000		0	
Cor Total	6.09		2	
Std. Dev.			R-Squared	1.0000
Mean	7.38		Adj R-Squared	
C.V. %			Pred R-Squared	N/A
PRESS	N/A		Adeq Precision	0.000

Case(s) with leverage of 1.0000: Pred R-Squared and PRESS statistic not defined
 "Adeq Precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio of 0.00 indicates an inadequate signal and we should not use this model to navigate the design space.

Coefficient Component Estimate	Standard Error	95% CI Low	95% CI High	VIF
A-Avicel	5.37			1.25
B-PVP	8.27			1.25
AB	6.72			1.50

Final Equation in Terms of L_Pseudo Components:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{kekerasan} &= \\ &+5.37 * A \\ &+8.27 * B \\ &+6.72 * A * B \end{aligned}$$

Final Equation in Terms of Real Components:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{kekerasan} &= \\ &+2.54500 * \text{Avicel} \\ &-3872.95500 * \text{PVP} \\ &+4200.00000 * \text{Avicel} * \text{PVP} \end{aligned}$$

Final Equation in Terms of Actual Components:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{kekerasan} &= \\ &+0.021752 * \text{Avicel} \\ &-33.10218 * \text{PVP} \\ &+0.30682 * \text{Avicel} * \text{PVP} \end{aligned}$$

Response 4 kerapuhan

ANOVA for Quadratic Mixture Model

*** Mixture Component Coding is L_Pseudo. ***

Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares - Type III]

Sum of Source	Squares	Mean df	F Square	p-value Value Prob > F
Model		0.019	2	9.700E-003
<i>Linear Mixture</i>	9.800E-003		1	9.800E-003
<i>AB</i>	9.600E-003		1	9.600E-003
Pure Error	0.000		0	
Cor Total	0.019		2	

Std. Dev.		R-Squared	1.0000
Mean	0.56	Adj R-Squared	
C.V. %		Pred R-Squared	N/A
PRESS	N/A	Adeq Precision	0.000

Case(s) with leverage of 1.0000: Pred R-Squared and PRESS statistic not defined
 "Adeq Precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio of 0.00 indicates an inadequate signal and we should not use this model to navigate the design space.

Coefficient Component Estimate	Standard	95% CI Error	95% CI Low	High	VIF
A-Avicel	0.67	1			1.25
B-PVP	0.53	1			1.25
AB	-0.48	1			1.50

Final Equation in Terms of L_Pseudo Components:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{kerapuhan} &= \\ &+0.67 * A \\ &+0.53 * B \\ &-0.48 * A * B \end{aligned}$$

Final Equation in Terms of Real Components:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{kerapuhan} &= \\ &+0.85500 * \text{Avicel} \\ &+279.35500 * \text{PVP} \\ &-300.00000 * \text{Avicel} * \text{PVP} \end{aligned}$$

Final Equation in Terms of Actual Components:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{kerapuhan} &= \\ &+7.30769E-003 * \text{Avicel} \\ &+2.38765 * \text{PVP} \\ &-0.021915 * \text{Avicel} * \text{PVP} \end{aligned}$$

Response 5 waktu hancur

ANOVA for Quadratic Mixture Model

*** Mixture Component Coding is L_Pseudo. ***

Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares - Type III]

Sum of Source	Squares	Mean df	F Square	p-value Valu Prob > F
Model	25.20		2	12.60
<i>Linear Mixture</i>		4.15	1	4.15
<i>AB</i>	21.06		1	21.06
Pure Error	0.000		0	
Cor Total	25.20		2	

Std. Dev.		R-Squared	1.0000
Mean	5.03	Adj R-Squared	
C.V. %		Pred R-Squared	N/A
PRESS	N/A	Adeq Precision	0.000

Case(s) with leverage of 1.0000: Pred R-Squared and PRESS statistic not defined
 "Adeq Precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio of 0.00 indicates an inadequate signal and we should not use this model to navigate the design space.

Coefficient Component Estimate	Standard df	95% CI Error	95% CI Low	High	VIF
A-Avicel	1.72	1			1.25
B-PVP4.60	1			1.25	
AB22.48	1			1.50	

Final Equation in Terms of L_Pseudo Components:

$$\text{waktu hancur} = +1.72 * A + 4.60 * B + 22.48 * A * B$$

Final Equation in Terms of Real Components:

$$\text{waktu hancur} = -6.02500 * \text{Avicel} - 13141.02500 * \text{PVP} + 14050.00000 * \text{Avicel} * \text{PVP}$$

Final Equation in Terms of Actual Components:

$$\text{waktu hancur} = -0.051496 * \text{Avicel} - 112.31645 * \text{PVP} + 1.02637 * \text{Avicel} * \text{PVP}$$

Response 6 Q30

ANOVA for Quadratic Mixture Model

*** Mixture Component Coding is L_Pseudo. ***

Analysis of variance table [Partial sum of squares - Type III]

Sum of Source	Squares	Mean df	F Square	p-value Value Prob > F
Model	597.50		2	298.75
<i>Linear Mixture</i>	234.79		1	234.79
<i>AB</i>	362.70		1	362.70
Pure Error	0.000		0	
Cor Total	597.50		2	

Std. Dev.		R-Squared	1.0000
Mean	65.73	Adj R-Squared	
C.V. %		Pred R-Squared	N/A
PRESS	N/A	Adeq Precision	0.000

Case(s) with leverage of 1.0000: Pred R-Squared and PRESS statistic not defined
 Adeq Precision" measures the signal to noise ratio. A ratio of 0.00 indicates an inadequate signal and we should not use this model to navigate the design space.

Coefficient Component Estimate	Standard	95% CI	95% CI	High	VIF
	df	Error	Low		
A-Avicel	84.34	1			1.25
B-PVP	62.67	1			1.25
AB	-93.30	1			1.50

Final Equation in Terms of L_Pseudo Components:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q30 = & \\
 & +84.34 * A \\
 & +62.67 * B \\
 & -93.30 * A * B
 \end{aligned}$$

Final Equation in Terms of Real Components:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q30 = & \\
 & +118.91375 * Avicel \\
 & +54390.91375 * PVP \\
 & -58312.50000 * Avicel * PVP
 \end{aligned}$$

Final Equation in Terms of Actual Components:

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q30 = & \\
 & +1.01636 * Avicel \\
 & +464.87960 * PVP \\
 & -4.25981 * Avicel * PVP
 \end{aligned}$$

Lampiran 7. Alat *Spray dryer*



Lampiran 8. Gambar Avicel PH 101 dan PVP sebelum dan sesudah *co-processing excipients*

Sebelum *co-processing excipients* :



AVICEL PH 101



PVP

Sesudah *co-processing excipients* :



Material *co-processed excipients* campuran Avicel PH 101 dan PVP