

BAB V

PENUTUP

1.1 Kesimpulan

Berdasarkan hasil analisis regresi berganda mendapati bahwa: (1) Variabel sikap terhadap donor darah terhadap niat mendonorkan darah berpengaruh signifikan dengan koefisien signifikansi sebesar 0,001. (2) Norma subjektif terhadap niat mendonorkan darah berpengaruh signifikan dengan koefisien signifikansi sebesar 0,003. (3) Kontrol berperilaku persepsian terhadap niat mendonorkan darah berpengaruh signifikan dengan koefisien signifikansi sebesar 0,000.

Analisis regresi logistik (1) kontrol berperilaku persepsian terhadap keputusan donor darah tidak berpengaruh signifikan dengan koefisien signifikansi sebesar 0,956 (2) niat mendonorkan darah terhadap keputusan mendonorkan darah tidak berpengaruh signifikan dengan koefisien signifikansi sebesar 0,281.

1.2 Saran

Hasil penelitian yang dilakukan dengan menggunakan Teori perilaku terencana ini sesuai untuk memprediksi sikap, norma subjektif, kontrol berperilaku terhadap niat mendonorkan darah, tetapi tidak sesuai untuk memprediksi kontrol berperilaku persepsian dan niat mendonorkan darah terhadap keputusan mendonorkan darah. Saran untuk penelitian selanjutnya yaitu perlu dikaji apakah ada pengaruh antara kontrol berperilaku persepsian terhadap

keputusan donor darah dan niat mendonorkan darah terhadap keputusan mendonorkan darah.

1.3 Implikasi Manajerial

Penelitian ini diharapkan membantu memberikan masukan bagi instansi terkait khususnya Palang Merah Indonesia (PMI) khususnya Surakarta dan Yogyakarta dalam menyediakan dan memenuhi kebutuhan donor bagi kemanusiaan. Contoh perilaku donor darah yang diminati masyarakat dalam hasil penelitian ini adalah: (1) Variabel sikap terhadap niat mendonorkan darah, semakin kuat sikap, maka semakin besar pula niat responden untuk dapat mengikuti donor darah karena memiliki manfaat yang baik bagi kesehatan dan memperlancar sirkulasi darah, (2) Variabel norma subjektif berpengaruh positif terhadap niat mendonorkan darah karena adanya pengaruh orang lain terhadap responden sehingga mewujudkan niat mendonorkan darah. (3) Variabel kontrol berperilaku persepsian memiliki pengaruh positif terhadap niat mendonorkan darah, dikarenakan adanya keyakinan diri yang kuat pada responden untuk mewujudkan niat mendonorkan darah.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Achmadi, Fuad dan Septiani, R., (2014), “Pengaruh *Green Marketing*, Pengetahuan dan Minat Membeli terhadap Keputusan Pembelian”, *Jurnal Manajemen Teknologi*.Vol. 13 No.2.
- Anggelina, Jebsvita., J.P, dan Japarianto (2014), “Analisis Pengaruh Sikap, *Subjective Norm* dan *Perceived Behavioral Control* terhadap *Purchase Intention* Pelanggan SOGO Departemen Store di Tunjungan Plaza Surabaya”, *Jurnal Strategi Pemasaran*. Vol.2 No.1.
- Ajzen, Icek (1991), “The Theory of Planned Behavior. Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes”, University of Massachusetts at Amherst 50, 179-211. Academia Press. Inc.
- Ajzen, Icek. Thomas J. Madden (1986), “Prediction of Goal Directed Behavior: Attitudes, Intentions, and Perceived Behavioural Control”, *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology* 22, 453-474.
- Andika, Manda dan Iskandarsyah Madjid (2012). Analisis Pengaruh Sikap, Norma Subjektif dan Efikasi Diri Terhadap Intensi Berwirausaha Pada Mahasiswa Fakultas Ekonomi Universitas Syiah Kuala. *Proceeding Eco-Entrepreneurship Seminar & Call Paper “Improving Perfomance by Improving Environment*. Hal.190-197, Semarang: Fakultas Ekonomi, Universitas Negeri Semarang.
- Asna (2010), “Analisis Perilaku Konsumen dalam keputusan Pembelian Sepeda Motor Se-Malang Raya”, *Jurnal Ekonomi Modernisasi* Vol.6 No.2.
- Departemen Kesehatan Republik Indonesia (2009). Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia No. 36 tahun 2009 tentang Kesehatan. Jakarta.
- Dharmmesta B.S (1998), “Theory of Planned Behaviour dalam Penelitian Sikap, Niat dan Perilaku Konsumen”, *Kelola* No.7.
- Depdiknas (2007). Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia, Jakarta.

- Fishbein, M dan Ajzen, Icek (1977), "Attitude Behavior Relations: A Theoretical Analysis and Review of Empirical Research", *Psychological Bulletin*. Vol.84 No.5.
- Ghozali, Imam (2012), "Aplikasi Analisis Multivariate dengan Program IBM SPSS 2", Semarang :UNDIP.
- Hair, J. F., et al. (2007). *Multivariate Data Analysis*. 6 th. Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Education Inc.
- Hamid, Nur Zainie Abd dan Rohaida Basiruddin (2013), "*Factors Influencing the Intention to Donate Blood: The Application of the Theory of Planned Behavior*", *Internation Journal of Social Science and Humanity*. Vol. 3 No.4.
- Hosmer, D. W, dan Lemeshow, S., (2000). *Applied Logistic Regression*. John Wiley and Sons. New York.
- Lestari, Retno Budi dan Trisnadi Wijaya. 2012. Pengaruh Pendidikan Kewirausahaan Terhadap Minat Berwirausaha Mahasiswa. Palembang. *Jurnal Ilmiah. Forum Bisnis dan Kewirausahaan*.Vol 1, No2, hal. 113-119.
- Lisa, J. Brown. (2005), "The Effectiveness of a Self Efficacy Intervention for Helping Adolescents Cope with Sport Competition Loss", *Journal of Sport Behavior*.
- Margono, S. (2008). *Metodologi Penelitian Pendidikan*. Jakarta: Rineka Karya.
- Mirawati, Made N., et al. (2016), "Pengaruh Sikap, Norma Subjektif, dan Persepsi Kontrol Keperilakuan, terhadap Niat Siswa SMK di Kota Denpasar untuk Menjadi Wirausaha", *E-Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*.
- Paulina, Irene dan Wardoyo (2012). FaktorPendukung Terhadap Intensi Berwirausaha Pada Mahasiswa. *Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen*.Vol 3, No 1, hal.1-10.

- Putri, Layli. Y (2017). Pengaruh Sikap, Norma Subjektif, dan Kontrol Perilaku Persepsian yang di Persepsikan terhadap Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak Orang Pribadi di Yogyakarta.
- Ramdhani, Neila (2011). Penyusunan Alat Ukur Berbasis *Theory of Planned Behavior*. Buletin Psikologi. Vol.19 No.2.
- Sarwoko, Endi (2011). Kajian Empiris *Entrepreneur Intention* Mahasiswa. *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Bisnis*. Vol 16, No 2, hal. 126-135.
- Sugiyono (2011). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif dan Kualitatif. Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Sugiyono (2013). Metode Penelitian Kuantitatif Kualitatif dan R&D (19th ed). Bandung: Alfabeta.
- Suharti, Lieli dan Hani Sirine. 2011. Faktor-Faktor yang berpengaruh terhadap niat kewirausahaan (*Entrepreneurial Intention*) (Studi Terhadap Mahasiswa Universitas Kristen Satya Wacana, Salatiga), *Jurnal Manajemen dan Kewirausahaan*. Vol 13, No 2, pp. 124-134.
- Sumadi Suryabrata (2013). Metodologi Penelitian. Jakarta : PT. Raja Grafindo Persada.
- Suryani, Lilis (2007), “Pengaruh Sikap, Norma Subjektif, dan Kontrol Perilaku Persepsian terhadap Kepatuhan Wajib Pajak Orang Pribadi di Daerah istimewa Yogyakarta dengan Niat Mematuhi Pajak sebagai Variabel Pemoderasi”, 2 *Jurnal Profita Edisi 3*. Yogyakarta.
- Tjahjono, Heru Kurnianto, Hari Ardi. 2008. Kajian Niat Mahasiswa Manajemen Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta Untuk Menjadi Wirausaha. *Jurnal Manajemen dan Bisnis*. Vol 16, No 1, hal. 46-63.
- Wahyuni, Dewi. U (2008), “Pengaruh Motivasi, Persepsi dan Sikap Konsumen Terhadap Keputusan Pembelian Sepeda Motor Merek “Honda” di Kawasan Surabaya Barat”, *Jurnal Manajemen dan Kewirausahaan*. Vol.1 No.1.

Lampiran 1. Kuesioner

KUESIONER PENELITIAN



Yth. Responden

Saya sedang melakukan penelitian dengan judul: **“Penerapan Teori Perilaku Terencana (Theory Of Planned Behaviour) dalam Keputusan Mendonorkan Darah”**. Saya mohon Bp/Ibu/Sdr berkenan mengisi kuesioner dengan apa adanya.

Penelitian ini sama sekali tidak akan menimbulkan kerugian bagi responden. Semua informasi dari hasil penelitian hanya akan digunakan untuk kepentingan peneliti, informasi tentang responden akan dijaga kerahasiaannya.

Atas perhatian dan kerjasamanya, diucapkan terimakasih.

Hormat saya,

Naftalia Risa

1. Identitas Responden

Mohon untuk memberi tanda (√) pada kolom / kotak yang tersedia

No. Responden : (Di isi oleh peneliti)

Nama :

Usia :

Jenis Kelamin : Laki-laki Perempuan

Apakah pernah mendapatkan informasi tentang donor darah

Pernah Tidak Pernah

Apakah anda rutin donor darah sesuai jadwal anda

Rutin Tidak Rutin

2. Petunjuk Menjawab

Mohon untuk memberi tanda (√) diatas tanda (—)

Mendonorkan darah bagi saya adalah ...

SK 2	<p style="text-align: center;">Buruk — — — — — Mulia</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> 1 2 3 4 5</p>
SK 4	<p style="text-align: center;">Tidak Sia-sia — — — — — Bermanfaat</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> 1 2 3 4 5</p>
SK 5	<p style="text-align: center;">Tidak menghargai — — — — — Menghargai</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> 1 2 3 4 5</p>
SK 6	<p style="text-align: center;">Stress — — — — — Santai</p> <p style="text-align: center;"> 1 2 3 4 5</p>

Mohon untuk memberi tanda (√) pada kolom / kotak yang tersedia

Keterangan:

STS = Sangat Tidak Setuju

TS = Tidak Setuju

CS = Cukup Setuju

S = Setuju

SS = Sangat Setuju

No	PERNYATAAN	RESPON				
		STS	TS	CS	S	SS
NS 1	Teman-teman saya menyarankan kepada saya untuk mengikuti donor darah					
NS 2	Keluarga saya mengingatkan saya untuk ikut donor darah					
NS 3	Jika saya ikut donor darah, rekan kerja saya pasti setuju					
KKEP 1	Saya memiliki kewajiban moral untuk mendonorkan darah					
KKEP 2	Saya mempunyai keyakinan yang mendorong untuk mendonorkan darah					
KKEP 3	Saya memiliki tanggung jawab untuk mendonorkan darah					
N 1	Saya berniat untuk mengikuti kegiatan donor darah					
N 3	Saya ingin mengikuti kegiatan donor darah untuk dapat membantu orang lain					
Diisi oleh peneliti						
KD	Keputusan donor darah	Donor		Tidak Donor		

Lampiran 2. Deskripsi Tanggapan

DESKRIPSI TANGGAPAN KUESIONER

Warning # 849 in column 23. Text: in_ID
 The LOCALE subcommand of the SET command has an invalid parameter.
 It could
 not be mapped to a valid backend locale.
 FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=JK IDD KMD
 /ORDER=ANALYSIS.

Frequencies

[DataSet0]

Statistics

		Jenis Kelamin	Informasi Donor Darah	Keputusan Mendonorkan Darah
N	Valid	202	202	202
	Missing	0	0	0

Frequency Table

Jenis Kelamin

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Laki-laki	115	56,9	56,9	56,9
	Perempuan	87	43,1	43,1	100,0
	Total	202	100,0	100,0	

Informasi Donor Darah

		Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid	Rutin	113	55,9	55,9	55,9
	Tidak Rutin	89	44,1	44,1	100,0
	Total	202	100,0	100,0	

Keputusan Mendonorkan Darah

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Tidak Donor	32	15,8	15,8	15,8
Valid Donor	170	84,2	84,2	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

GET

```
FILE='G:\TANGGAPAN.sav'.
DATASET NAME DataSet2 WINDOW=FRONT.
FREQUENCIES VARIABLES=SK2 SK4 SK5 SK6 NS1 NS3 KKEP1 KKEP2 KKEP N1
N3 KEPDONOR
/ORDER=ANALYSIS.
```

Frequencies

[DataSet2] G:\TANGGAPAN.sav

Statistics

	SK2	SK4	SK5	SK6	NS1	NS3	KKEP 1	KKEP 2	KKEP 3	N1	N3	KEPDON OR
Valid	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202	202
N Missin g	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Frequency Table

SK2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
cs	3	1,5	1,5	1,5
s	18	8,9	8,9	10,4
ss	181	89,6	89,6	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

SK4

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid cs	3	1,5	1,5	1,5
s	22	10,9	10,9	12,4
ss	177	87,6	87,6	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

SK5

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid cs	4	2,0	2,0	2,0
s	30	14,9	14,9	16,8
ss	168	83,2	83,2	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

SK6

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid cs	4	2,0	2,0	2,0
s	31	15,3	15,3	17,3
ss	167	82,7	82,7	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

NS1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid cs	6	3,0	3,0	3,0
s	43	21,3	21,3	24,3
ss	153	75,7	75,7	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

NS3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid cs	6	3,0	3,0	3,0
s	45	22,3	22,3	25,2
ss	151	74,8	74,8	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

KKEP1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid cs	10	5,0	5,0	5,0
s	59	29,2	29,2	34,2
ss	133	65,8	65,8	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

KKEP2

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid cs	10	5,0	5,0	5,0
s	59	29,2	29,2	34,2
ss	133	65,8	65,8	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

KKEP

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid cs	10	5,0	5,0	5,0
s	60	29,7	29,7	34,7
ss	132	65,3	65,3	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

N1

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid cs	12	5,9	5,9	5,9
s	45	22,3	22,3	28,2
ss	145	71,8	71,8	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

N3

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid cs	7	3,5	3,5	3,5
s	56	27,7	27,7	31,2
ss	139	68,8	68,8	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

KEPDONOR

	Frequency	Percent	Valid Percent	Cumulative Percent
Valid tidak	32	15,8	15,8	15,8
donor	170	84,2	84,2	100,0
Total	202	100,0	100,0	

Lampiran 3. Uji Validitas

UJI VALIDITAS KUESIONER

```
Warning # 849 in column 23. Text: in_ID
The LOCALE subcommand of the SET command has an invalid parameter.
It could
not be mapped to a valid backend locale.
FACTOR
/VARIABLES SK2 SK4 SK5 SK6 NS1 NS2 NS3 KKEP1 KKEP2 KKEP3 N1 N3
Keputusan_donor_darah
/MISSING LISTWISE
/ANALYSIS SK2 SK4 SK5 SK6 NS1 NS2 NS3 KKEP1 KKEP2 KKEP3 N1 N3
Keputusan_donor_darah
/PRINT INITIAL KMO EXTRACTION ROTATION
/FORMAT BLANK(.40)
/CRITERIA FACTORS(5) ITERATE(25)
/EXTRACTION PC
/CRITERIA ITERATE(25)
/ROTATION VARIMAX
/METHOD=CORRELATION.
```

Factor Analysis

[DataSet1] G:\DATA VALIDITAS DAN RELIABILITAS.sav

KMO and Bartlett's Test

Kaiser-Meyer-Olkin Measure of Sampling Adequacy.		,714
Approx. Chi-Square		3146,382
Bartlett's Test of Sphericity	df	78
	Sig.	,000

Communalities

	Initial	Extraction
SK2	1,000	,749
SK4	1,000	,892
SK5	1,000	,864
SK6	1,000	,864
NS1	1,000	,951
NS2	1,000	,984
NS3	1,000	,965
KKEP1	1,000	,938
KKEP2	1,000	,960
KKEP3	1,000	,975
N1	1,000	,854
N3	1,000	,854
Keputusan_dono r_darah	1,000	,952

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Total Variance Explained

Component	Initial Eigenvalues			Extraction Sums of Squared Loadings			Rotation Sums of Squared Loadings		
	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %	Total	% of Variance	Cumulative %
1	3,678	28,292	28,292	3,678	28,292	28,292	3,266	25,124	25,124
2	2,966	22,816	51,108	2,966	22,816	51,108	2,916	22,427	47,551
3	2,828	21,752	72,860	2,828	21,752	72,860	2,898	22,294	69,845
4	1,315	10,119	82,979	1,315	10,119	82,979	1,680	12,922	82,767
5	1,014	7,800	90,779	1,014	7,800	90,779	1,042	8,012	90,779
6	,581	4,469	95,248						
7	,263	2,024	97,272						
8	,110	,843	98,115						
9	,082	,634	98,749						
10	,071	,550	99,299						
11	,038	,290	99,589						
12	,032	,245	99,834						
13	,022	,166	100,000						

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Component Matrix^a

	Component				
	1	2	3	4	5
SK2	,630	-,454			
SK4	,744	-,441			
SK5	,723	-,536			
SK6	,719	-,541			
NS1			,908		
NS2			,920		
NS3			,912		
KKEP1	,512	,781			
KKEP2	,525	,780			
KKEP3	,525	,794			
N1	,511			,700	
N3	,471			,705	
Keputusan_donor_darah					,931

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

a. 5 components extracted.

Rotated Component Matrix^a

	Component				
	1	2	3	4	5
SK2	,851				
SK4	,930				
SK5	,908				
SK6	,903				
NS1		,974			
NS2		,990			
NS3		,981			
KKEP1			,958		
KKEP2			,973		
KKEP3			,977		
N1				,900	
N3				,888	
Keputusan_donor_darah					,974

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

a. Rotation converged in 5 iterations.

Component Transformation Matrix

Component	1	2	3	4	5
1	,736	,324	,472	,359	,051
2	-,573	-,064	,794	,191	-,011
3	-,292	,943	-,107	-,112	,015
4	-,211	-,007	-,358	,873	,257
5	,015	-,031	,081	-,247	,965

Extraction Method: Principal Component Analysis.

Rotation Method: Varimax with Kaiser Normalization.

Lampiran 4. Uji Reliabilitas

UJI RELIABILITAS

```
RELIABILITY
  /VARIABLES=SK2 SK4 SK5 SK6
  /SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
  /MODEL=ALPHA
  /STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE
  /SUMMARY=TOTAL CORR.
```

Reliability

[DataSet1] G:\DATA VALIDITAS DAN RELIABILITAS.sav

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	202	100,0
	Excluded ^a	0	,0
	Total	202	100,0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
,923	,924	4

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
SK2	4,88	,368	202
SK4	4,86	,387	202
SK5	4,81	,440	202
SK6	4,81	,443	202

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Inter-Item Correlations	,752	,592	,962	,370	1,626	,019	4

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item-Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
SK2	14,48	1,425	,720	,750	,932
SK4	14,50	1,286	,861	,832	,888
	14,55	1,174	,866	,928	,885
SK6	14,55	1,174	,856	,926	,889

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
19,36	2,192	1,481	4

RELIABILITY

```

/VARIABLES=NS1 NS2 NS3
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE
/SUMMARY=TOTAL CORR.

```

Reliability

[DataSet1] G:\DATA VALIDITAS DAN RELIABILITAS.sav

Scale: ALL VARIABLES**Case Processing Summary**

		N	%
Cases	Valid	202	100,0
	Excluded ^a	0	,0
	Total	202	100,0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
,983	,983	3

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
NS1	4,73	,509	202
NS2	4,71	,515	202
NS3	4,72	,513	202

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Inter-Item Correlations	,950	,924	,972	,048	1,052	,000	3

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item- Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
NS1	9,43	1,042	,945	,908	,986
NS2	9,45	1,004	,981	,965	,960
NS3	9,44	1,024	,959	,944	,976

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
14,16	2,283	1,511	3

```

RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=KKEP1 KKEP2 KKEP3
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE
/SUMMARY=TOTAL CORR.

```

Reliability

[DataSet1] G:\DATA VALIDITAS DAN RELIABILITAS.sav

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	202	100,0
	Excluded ^a	0	,0
	Total	202	100,0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
,978	,978	3

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
KKEP1	4,61	,582	202
KKEP2	4,61	,582	202
KKEP3	4,60	,583	202

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Inter-Item Correlations	,936	,912	,963	,051	1,056	,001	3

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item- Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
KKEP1	9,21	1,333	,932	,874	,981
KKEP2	9,21	1,313	,954	,929	,966
KKEP3	9,22	1,296	,970	,946	,954

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
13,82	2,923	1,710	3

```
RELIABILITY
/VARIABLES=N1 N2 N3
/SCALE('ALL VARIABLES') ALL
/MODEL=ALPHA
/STATISTICS=DESCRIPTIVE SCALE
/SUMMARY=TOTAL CORR.
```

Reliability

[DataSet1] G:\DATA VALIDITAS DAN RELIABILITAS.sav

Scale: ALL VARIABLES

Case Processing Summary

		N	%
Cases	Valid	202	100,0
	Excluded ^a	0	,0
	Total	202	100,0

a. Listwise deletion based on all variables in the procedure.

Reliability Statistics

Cronbach's Alpha	Cronbach's Alpha Based on Standardized Items	N of Items
,745	,758	3

Item Statistics

	Mean	Std. Deviation	N
N1	4,66	,588	202
N2	4,60	,686	202
N3	4,65	,545	202

Summary Item Statistics

	Mean	Minimum	Maximum	Range	Maximum / Minimum	Variance	N of Items
Inter-Item Correlations	,511	,411	,685	,273	1,664	,018	3

Item-Total Statistics

	Scale Mean if Item Deleted	Scale Variance if Item Deleted	Corrected Item- Total Correlation	Squared Multiple Correlation	Cronbach's Alpha if Item Deleted
N1	9,25	1,095	,626	,484	,598
N2	9,31	1,081	,462	,215	,811
N3	9,26	1,147	,656	,498	,578

Scale Statistics

Mean	Variance	Std. Deviation	N of Items
13,91	2,211	1,487	3

Lampiran 5. Analisis Regresi Berganda

ANALISIS REGRESI BERGANDA

```
Warning # 849 in column 23. Text: in_ID
The LOCALE subcommand of the SET command has an invalid parameter.
It could
not be mapped to a valid backend locale.
REGRESSION
  /MISSING LISTWISE
  /STATISTICS COEFF OUTS R ANOVA
  /CRITERIA=PIN(.05) POUT(.10)
  /NOORIGIN
  /DEPENDENT NiatMendonorkanDarah
  /METHOD=ENTER Sikap NormaSubjektif
KontrolKeperilakuanPersepsian.
```

Regression

[DataSet0]

Variables Entered/Removed^a

Model	Variables Entered	Variables Removed	Method
1	KontrolKeperilaku anPersepsian, Sikap, NormaSubjektif ^b	.	Enter

a. Dependent Variable: NiatMendonorkanDarah

b. All requested variables entered.

Model Summary

Model	R	R Square	Adjusted R Square	Std. Error of the Estimate
1	,370 ^a	,137	,124	,48677

a. Predictors: (Constant), KontrolKeperilakuanPersepsian, Sikap,
NormaSubjektif

ANOVA^a

Model		Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
1	Regression	7,423	3	2,474	10,442	,000 ^b
	Residual	46,915	198	,237		
	Total	54,338	201			

a. Dependent Variable: NiatMendonorkanDarah

b. Predictors: (Constant), KontrolKeperilakuanPersepsian, Sikap, NormaSubjektif

Coefficients^a

Model		Unstandardized Coefficients		Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.
		B	Std. Error			
1	(Constant)	1,953	,591		3,304	,001
	Sikap	,284	,093	,202	3,057	,003
	NormaSubjektif	,013	,068	,013	,193	,847
	KontrolKeperilakuan Persepsian	,274	,060	,301	4,546	,000

a. Dependent Variable: NiatMendonorkanDarah

SAVE OUTFILE='G:\berganda ku yang benar.sav'
/COMPRESSED.

Lampiran 6. Analisis Regresi Logistik

ANALISIS REGRESI LOGISTIK

Warning # 849 in column 23. Text: in_ID
 The LOCALE subcommand of the SET command has an invalid parameter.
 It could
 not be mapped to a valid backend locale.
 LOGISTIC REGRESSION VARIABLES KD
 /METHOD=ENTER KKP NIAT
 /CLASSPLOT
 /CASEWISE OUTLIER(2)
 /PRINT=GOODFIT CORR ITER(1) CI(95)
 /CRITERIA=PIN(0.05) POUT(0.10) ITERATE(20) CUT(0.5) .

Logistic Regression

[DataSet0]

Case Processing Summary

Unweighted Cases ^a		N	Percent
	Included in Analysis	202	100,0
Selected Cases	Missing Cases	0	,0
	Total	202	100,0
Unselected Cases		0	,0
Total		202	100,0

a. If weight is in effect, see classification table for the total number of cases.

Dependent Variable Encoding

Original Value	Internal Value
,00	0
1,00	1

Block 0: Beginning Block

Iteration History^{a,b,c}

Iteration	-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients
		Constant
1	179,221	1,366
2	176,581	1,643
3	176,562	1,670
4	176,562	1,670

- a. Constant is included in the model.
 b. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 176,562
 c. Estimation terminated at iteration number 4 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Classification Table^{a,b}

	Observed	Predicted		
		KeputusanDonor		Percentage Correct
		,00	1,00	
Step 0	KeputusanDonor ,00	0	32	,0
	KeputusanDonor 1,00	0	170	100,0
	Overall Percentage			84,2

- a. Constant is included in the model.
 b. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)
Step 0 Constant	1,670	,193	75,113	1	,000	5,312

Variables not in the Equation

	Score	df	Sig.
Step 0 Variables KKP	,067	1	,795
Variables NIAT	1,234	1	,267
Overall Statistics	1,242	2	,537

Block 1: Method = Enter**Iteration History^{a,b,c,d}**

Iteration	-2 Log likelihood	Coefficients		
		Constant	KKP	NIAT
1	178,340	,392	-,017	,226
2	175,425	,069	-,020	,360
Step 1 3	175,395	-,020	-,019	,384
4	175,395	-,021	-,019	,385
5	175,395	-,021	-,019	,385

a. Method: Enter

b. Constant is included in the model.

c. Initial -2 Log Likelihood: 176,562

d. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Omnibus Tests of Model Coefficients

	Chi-square	df	Sig.
Step	1,167	2	,558
Step 1 Block	1,167	2	,558
Model	1,167	2	,558

Model Summary

Step	-2 Log likelihood	Cox & Snell R Square	Nagelkerke R Square
1	175,395 ^a	,006	,010

a. Estimation terminated at iteration number 5 because parameter estimates changed by less than ,001.

Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

Step	Chi-square	df	Sig.
1	4,464	5	,485

Contingency Table for Hosmer and Lemeshow Test

	KeputusanDonor = ,00		KeputusanDonor = 1,00		Total
	Observed	Expected	Observed	Expected	
1	3	4,350	17	15,650	20
2	5	4,205	17	17,795	22
3	4	4,203	20	19,797	24
Step 1 4	2	1,145	5	5,855	7
5	15	13,237	79	80,763	94
6	2	1,257	7	7,743	9
7	1	3,603	25	22,397	26

Classification Table^a

	Observed	Predicted		
		KeputusanDonor		Percentage Correct
		,00	1,00	
Step 1	KeputusanDonor ,00	0	32	,0
	KeputusanDonor 1,00	0	170	100,0
	Overall Percentage			84,2

a. The cut value is ,500

Variables in the Equation

	B	S.E.	Wald	df	Sig.	Exp(B)	95% C.I. for EXP(B)	
							Lower	Upper
Step 1 ^a	KKP	-,019	,343	,003	1	,956	,981	,501 1,922
	NIAT	,385	,357	1,163	1	,281	1,469	,730 2,956
	Constant	-,021	1,948	,000	1	,991	,979	

a. Variable(s) entered on step 1: KKP, NIAT.

Correlation Matrix

		Constant	KKP	NIAT
Step 1	Constant	1,000	-,580	-,621
	KKP	-,580	1,000	-,271
	NIAT	-,621	-,271	1,000

