

BAB V

KESIMPULAN DAN SARAN

A. Kesimpulan

Berdasarkan penelitian yang dilakukan dapat disimpulkan :

1. Kombinasi *gelling agent* Carbopol 940 dan Tragakan tidak berpengaruh terhadap mutu fisik sediaan gel ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis yang meliputi organoleptis, homogenitas, pH, viskositas , daya lekat dan daya sebar sediaan gel. Sehingga memberikan mutu fisik gel ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis (*Garcinia mangostana* L.) yang baik.
2. Ketiga formula memberikan uji mutu fisik yang baik dan uji stabilitas yang tidak stabil pada pengamatan daya sebar, daya lekat, dan viskositas.

B. Saran

Saran yang didapat dari hasil penelitian gel ekstrak kulit buah manggis(*Garcinia mangostana* L.) adalah :

1. Perlu dilakukan penelitian lebih lanjut mengenai uji antiinflamasi penyembuhan luka bakar dari gel ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis (*Garcinia mangostana* L.).
2. Perlu dilakukan penelitian terhadap uji mutu fisik dan stabilitas dengan suhu ruangan yang dikendalikan.

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Allen, L.V. 2002. *The Art, Science, and Technologi of Pharmaceutical Compounding*, 2nd Ed., Aamerican Pharmaceutical Association, Washington, D.C., pp. 301-324.
- Allen, L.V., Popovich, N.G. and Ansel, H.C. 2005. *Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms and Drug Delivery System*, 8 edition, 415, Lipincott Wiliams and Wilkins, USA.
- Anonim, 2010, *Suplemen 1 Farmakope Herbal Indonesia*, Departemen Kesehatan Republik Indonesia, Jakarta.
- Ansel, H.C. 2008. *Pengantar Bentuk Sediaan Farmasi*, Universitas Indonesia Press, Jakarta.
- Ansel, H.C., 1989, *Pengantar Bentuk sediaan Farmasi*, diterjemahkan oleh Farida Ibrahim, Cetakan I, Universitas Indonesia Press. Jakarta. Hlm 376, 519.
- Ansel, H.C., 1989. *Pengantar Bentuk Sediaan Farmasi*. Edisi IV, Jakarta Universitas Indonesia Press hal. 390-391.
- Carter, S. 1975. *Dispensing For Pharmaceutical Student*. 12th Edition. London: Pitman Medical Publishing Co.
- Corwin E.J. 2007. *Buku saku patofisiologi*. Jakarta : Penerbit Buku kedokteran E.G.C.
- Depkes RI 1986. *Sediaan Galenik*. Edisi III. Jakarta : Departemen Kesehatan Republik Indonesia : Jakarta Hlm 5-6.
- Depkes RI. 1979. *Farmakope Indonesia*. Edisi III Jakarta: Departemen Kesehatan Republik Indonesia.
- Depkes RI. 1994. *Iventaris Tanaman Obat Indonesia*. Departemen Kesehatan Republik Indonesia: Jakarta. Hlm 69.
- Depkes RI. 1995. *Materia Medica Indonesia*, jilid VI. Jakarta: Direktorat Jendral Pengawasan Obat dan Makanan.
- Ditjen POM. 1986. *Sediaan Galenik*. Jakarta: Depkes RI. Hal. 81
- Ditjen POM. 2000. *Parameter Standar Umum Ekstrak Tumbuhan Obat*. Cetakan Pertama. Jakarta: Depkes RI. Hal 10-12.

- Garg, A., Anggarwal, D., Garg, S., and Sigla, A.K. 2002. Spreading of Semisolid Formulation: An Update. *Pharmaceutical Tecnology*. September 2002.
- Harbone, J.B., 1987, *Metode Fitokimia Penuntu Cara Modern Menganalisis Tumbuhan*, Edisi II, diterjemahkan oleh Kosasih Padmawinata dan Iwang Soedira, 5, 69-76, ITB Press, Bandung.
- Khare, 2007, *Indian Medicinal Plants, Springer Science and Business Media*, New York.
- Lieberman, A. H., Rieger, M.M., dan Banker S.G., 1998, *Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms: Disperse System*, 2nd Ed., Revised and Expanded, 3, 265-267, 272-273, Marcell Dekker, Inc., New York.
- Mahalingam, R., Li, X., dan Jasti, B.R., 2008, Semisolid Dosages: Ointments, Creams, and Gels, in Gad, S. C., *Pharmaceutical Manufacturing Handbook: Production and Processes*, Wiley-Interscience, New Jersey, p. 279.
- Maulina, L, dan S Nining. 2015. Formulasi gel ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis (*Garciana mangostana L.*) dengan variasi gelling agent sebagai sediaan luka bakar. *Pharmaciana*, Vol.5, No.1, 2015:43-52.
- Nugroho, A., 2007, Mangosteen (*Garcinia mangostana L.*) :: From Discarded-Fruit Hull to be A Candidate for A Drug, Gadjah Mada University Pharmacology Lap, 1-7.
- Pena, L,E. 1990. *Gel Dosage Froms: Theory, Formulation, and Processing*, in Osborne, D. W., Amann, A.H., (Eds), *Topical Drug Delivery Formulation*, 381-387, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York.
- Robinson, T. 1995, *Kandungan Organik Tumbuhan Tinggi*, hal 191, ITB Press, Bandung.
- Rowe, R.C., Sheskey, P.J., and Quinn, M.E., 2009. *Handbook Of Pharmaceutical Excipients*, 6th Ed edition, Pharmaceutical Press and American Pharmacist Association, Washington D.C., pp. 118- 121, 592-594.
- Setyarini, H. 2011. Uji Daya Antiinflamasi Gel Ekstrak Etanol Jahe 10% (*Zingiber officinale Roscoe*) yang diberikan Topikal terhadap Udem kaki tikus yang diinduksi Karagenin [SKRIPSI]. Surakarta ; Fakultas Farmasi, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta.
- Sjamsuhidajat, R & Wim, de Jong (ed). 2004. *Buku Ajar Ilmu Bedah*. Jakarta: EGC.

- Tamburic, S, Craig. D. 1995. An Investigation Into the Rheological, Dielectric and Mucoadhesive Properties Of Poly (Arcylic Acid) Gel System. *Journal of Controlled Release*.
- Tranggono, R.I., dan Latifah, F.(2007). *Buku Pegangan Ilmu Pengetahuan Kosmetik*,. Editor: Joshita Djajadisastra, Pharm, MS, Ph. D. Jakarta: Penerbit PustakaUtama. Hal. 11-25, 165-166.
- Voigt, R 1994. *Buku Pelajaran Teknologi Farmasi*. Edisi 5. Gadjah Mada University Press. Togyakarta.
- Voigt, R., 1984, *Buku Pelajaran Teknologi Farmasi*, Ed. IV, 204, diterjemahkan oleh Soendani Noerono Soewandhi, disunting oleh Sambudi R., UGM Press, Yogyakarta.
- Voigt, R., 1995, *Buku Pelajaran Teknologi Farmasi*. Diterjemahkan oleh Soewandhi, S. N. Dan Widiyanto M.B., P.141-145. UGM Press, Yogyakarta.
- Weecharangsan W., Opanasopit P., Sukma M., Ngawhirunpat T.,Sotanaphun U., Siripong P., 2006, Antioxidative and Neuroprotective Acitivites of Extraxts From the Fruit Hull of Mangosteen (*Garcinia mangostana* Linn.), *Medical Principles and Practice*, 15(4):281-287.
- Wyatt, E., Sutter, S.H., & Drake, L.A., 2001, *Dermatology Pharmacology*, in *Goodman and Gilman's The Pharmacological Basic of Therapeutics*, Hardman, J.G., Limbird, L.E., Gilman, A.G., (Editor), 10th Edition, 1797, New York, McGraw-Hill.
- Zath, J. L., dan Kushla, G. P., 199, Gels, im Lieberman, H.A., Rieger, M.M., Banker, G.S., *Pharmaceutical Dosage Forms: Disperse sytem*, Vol. 2, 2nd Edition, Marcel Dekker Inc., New York, pp. 399-421.

L
A
M
P
I
R
A
N

Lampiran 1. Hasil Determinasi Tanaman



No : 356/DET/UPT-LAB/12/III/2019
Hal : Surat Keterangan Determinasi Tumbuhan

Menerangkan bahwa :

Nama : Renata Martina Hungga
NIM : 19161249 B
Fakultas : Farmasi Universitas Setia Budi

Telah mendeterminasikan tumbuhan : **Manggis (*Garcinia mangostana* L..)**

Determinasi berdasarkan Steenis : FLORA

1b – 2b – 3b – 4b – 6b – 7b – 9b – 10b – 11b – 12b – 13b – 14b – 16a. golongan 10. 239b – 243b – 244b – 248b – 249b – 250a – 251b – 253b – 254a. familia 80. Guttiferae 1a. 1.

Garcinia. 1b. *Garcinia mangostana* L.

Deskripsi :

Habitus : Pohon, tinggi 6 – 20 m.

Akar : Sistem akar tunggang.

Batang : Bulat, berkayu, percabangan monopodial.

Daun : Tunggal, memanjang sampai bulat telur memanjang, pangkal runcing sampai tumpul, ujung meruncing sampai runcing, tepi rata, tulang daun menyirip, seperti belulang, hijau tua mengkilat, panjang 12,5– 20,2 cm, lebar 5,1– 8,9 cm, tulang daun menyirip.

Bunga : Beraturan, bunga betina pada ujung ranting, daun kelopak terluar hijau kuning, 2 yang terdalam lebih kecil; daun mahkota bulat telur terbalik, berdaging tebal, hijau kuning; staminodia kerap kali dalam kelompok; bakal buah beruang 4 – 8. Kepala putik berjari-jari 4 – 8.

Buah : Bentuk bola tertekan, garis tengah 3,5 – 7 cm, ungu tua. Dinding buah tebal, berdaging, ungu, dengan getah kuning.

Biji : 1 – 3, diselubungi oleh selaput biji yang tebal berair, putih.

Pustaka : Steenis C.G.G.J., Bloembergen S. Eyma P.J. (1978): *FLORA*, PT Pradnya Paramita. Jl. KebonSirih 46. Jakarta Pusat, 1978.

Surakarta, 12 Maret 2019
Tim determinasi

Dra. Kartinah Wiryosoendjojo, SU.

Lampiran 2. Perhitungan randemen ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis

- Randemen serbuk kulit buah manggis :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Bobot kering} &= 2000 \quad \text{gram} \\
 \text{Bobot serbuk} &= 700 \quad \text{gram} \\
 \text{Rumus} &= \frac{\text{bobot serbuk (gram)}}{\text{bobot kering (gram)}} \times 100\% \\
 \text{Persentase randemen} &= \frac{700}{2000} \times 100\% \\
 &= 35\%
 \end{aligned}$$

- Randemen Ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis :

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Berat Gelas} &= 145,764 \quad \text{gram} \\
 \text{Berat gelas + ekstrak} &= 200 \quad \text{gram} \\
 \text{Ekstrak kulit Buah manggis} &= \frac{200}{145,764} \quad \text{gram} \\
 &= 54,236 \quad \text{gram} \\
 \text{Rumus} &= \frac{\text{bobot ekstrak (gram)}}{\text{bobot serbuk (gram)}} \times 100\% \\
 \text{Persentase randemen} &= \frac{54,236}{700} \times 100\% \\
 &= 7,75\%
 \end{aligned}$$

Lampiran 3. Perhitungan Formulasi

1. Formula 1

Ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis	: $\frac{2}{20} \times 100 = 10 \text{ gram}$
Carbopol	: $\frac{1}{20} \times 100 = 5 \text{ gram}$
Gliserin	: $\frac{2}{20} \times 100 = 10 \text{ gram}$
Propilenglikol	: $\frac{1}{20} \times 100 = 5 \text{ gram}$
Metil Paraben	: $\frac{0,03}{20} \times 100 = 0,15 \text{ gram}$
Aquadest	: ad 100

2. Formula 2

Ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis	: $\frac{2}{20} \times 100 = 10 \text{ gram}$
Carbopol	: $\frac{0,5}{20} \times 100 = 7,5 \text{ gram}$
Tragakan	: $\frac{0,5}{20} \times 100 = 7,5 \text{ gram}$
Gliserin	: $\frac{2}{20} \times 100 = 10 \text{ gram}$
Propilenglikol	: $\frac{1}{20} \times 100 = 5 \text{ gram}$
Metil Paraben	: $\frac{0,03}{20} \times 100 = 0,15 \text{ gram}$
Aquadest	: ad 100

3. Formula 3

Ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis	: $\frac{2}{20} \times 100 = 10 \text{ gram}$
Tragakan	: $\frac{1}{20} \times 100 = 5 \text{ gram}$
Gliserin	: $\frac{2}{20} \times 100 = 10 \text{ gram}$
Propilenglikol	: $\frac{1}{20} \times 100 = 5 \text{ gram}$
Metil Paraben	: $\frac{0,03}{20} \times 100 = 0,15 \text{ gram}$
Aquadest	: ad 100

Lampiran 4. Data hasil pengujian viskositas gel ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis

Penyimpanan	Replikasi	Formula 1	Formula 2	Formula 3
Hari ke-0	1	290	260	230
	2	300	270	250
	3	310	280	270
Rata-rata (dPa's)		300	270	250
Hari ke-7	1	309	284	265
	2	310	286	266
	3	312	285	264
Rata-rata (dPa's)		310	285	265
Hari ke-14	1	314	262	282
	2	315	266	277
	3	316	267	281
Rata-rata (dPa's)		315	265	280

Lampiran 5. Data hasil pengujian daya lekat gel ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis

Penyimpanan	Replikasi	Formula 1	Formula 2	Formula 3
Hari ke-0	1	08,43	08,07	07,61
	2	08,48	08,12	07,66
	3	08,62	08,14	07,80
Rata-rata (detik)		08,51	08,11	07,69
Hari ke-7	1	10,22	10,00	14,93
	2	10,26	10,04	14,94
	3	10,45	10,11	14,98
Rata-rata (detik)		10,31	10,05	14,95
Hari ke-14	1	15,03	14,92	12,89
	2	15,11	14,96	13,05
	3	15,13	14,97	13,09
Rata-rata (detik)		15,09	14,95	13,01

Lampiran 6. Data hasil pengujian daya sebar gel ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis

Data daya sebar hari ke 0

Formula	Berat (gr)	Replikaski (cm)			Rata- rata (cm)	Rata-rata formula (cm)
		I	II	III		
1	Tanpa Beban	5,5	5,6	5,8	5,6	5,80
	50	5,6	5,6	5,9	5,7	
	100	5,7	5,7	6,0	5,8	
	150	5,9	5,8	6,1	5,9	
	200	6,0	5,9	6,1	6,0	
2	Tanpa Beban	5,8	5,8	5,8	5,8	6,00
	50	5,9	5,9	6,0	5,9	
	100	5,9	6,0	6,2	6,0	
	150	6,0	6,2	6,2	6,1	
	200	6,1	6,3	6,3	6,2	
3	Tanpa Beban	6,0	6,3	6,3	6,2	6,40
	50	6,1	6,4	6,5	6,3	
	100	6,1	6,5	6,6	6,4	
	150	6,3	6,5	6,7	6,5	
	200	6,4	6,6	6,9	6,6	

Daya sebar hari ke-7

Formula	Berat (gr)	Replikaski (cm)			Rata- rata (cm)	Rata-rata formula (cm)
		I	II	III		
1	Tanpa Beban	5,3	5,5	5,5	5,4	5,66
	50	5,5	5,6	5,6	5,5	
	100	5,6	5,6	5,9	5,7	
	150	5,8	5,7	5,9	5,8	
	200	5,9	5,8	6,0	5,9	
2	Tanpa Beban	5,5	5,5	5,6	5,5	5,82
	50	5,7	5,8	5,8	5,7	
	100	5,8	5,9	6,0	5,9	
	150	5,8	5,9	6,0	5,9	
	200	6,0	6,1	6,3	6,1	
3	Tanpa Beban	6,0	6,2	6,2	6,1	6,32
	50	6,1	6,3	6,4	6,2	
	100	6,1	6,4	6,5	6,3	
	150	6,3	6,5	6,7	6,5	
	200	6,4	6,6	6,7	6,5	

Daya sebar hari ke-14

Formula	Berat (gr)	Replikasi (cm)			Rata-rata (cm)	Rata-rata formula (cm)
		I	II	III		
1	Tanpa Beban	5,2	5,2	5,3	5,2	5,44
	50	5,3	5,4	5,4	5,3	
	100	5,3	5,4	5,6	5,4	
	150	5,5	5,7	5,8	5,6	
	200	5,6	5,7	5,9	5,7	
2	Tanpa Beban	5,4	5,4	5,5	5,4	5,62
	50	5,4	5,5	5,6	5,5	
	100	5,5	5,6	5,8	5,6	
	150	5,7	5,8	5,8	5,7	
	200	5,8	5,9	6,1	5,9	
3	Tanpa Beban	6,0	6,1	6,0	6,0	6,24
	50	6,1	6,0	6,4	6,1	
	100	6,1	6,3	6,4	6,2	
	150	6,3	6,5	6,5	6,4	
	200	6,4	6,6	6,6	6,5	

Lampiran 7. Data hasil pengujian pH gel ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis.

Formulasi	Minggu	pH
1	Hari ke 0	5
	Hari ke 7	5
	Hari ke 14	5
2	Hari ke 0	6
	Hari ke 7	6
	Hari ke 14	6
3	Hari ke 0	6
	Hari ke 7	6
	Hari ke 14	6

Lampiran 8. Gambar serbuk dan ekstrak kulit buah manggis



Serbuk Kulit Buah Manggis



Ekstrak Kulit Buah Manggis

Lampiran 9. Gambar Gel ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis

Gel formula 1
(Carbopol 940 1 gram)



Gel formula 2
(Carbopol 940 0,5 gram
dan Tragakan 0,5 gram)



Gel formula 3
(Tragakan 1 gram)

Lampiran 10. Gambar alat uji yang digunakan



Alat Uji daya lekat



Viskometer



Timbangan analitik



Uji Xanthone



Alat uji daya sebar



Universal pH stick

Lampiran 11. Uji statistik kolmogorov-Smirnov dan analisa ANOVA satu jalan formula gel ekstrak etanol kuli buah manggis.

1. Viskositas

NPar Tests

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		viskositas
N		9
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	285,00
	Std. Deviation	21,360
	Absolute	,101
Most Extreme Differences	Positive	,092
	Negative	-,101
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		,304
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		1,000

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

Oneway

Test of Homogeneity of Variances

viskositas

Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
,425	2	6	,672

ANOVA

viskositas

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	2866,667	2	1433,333	10,979	,010
Within Groups	783,333	6	130,556		
Total	3650,000	8			

Post Hoc Tests

Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: viskositas

Tukey HSD

(I) Formula	(J) Formula	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Formula 1	Formula 2	26,667	9,329	,065	-1,96	55,29
	Formula 3	43,333*	9,329	,008	14,71	71,96
Formula 2	Formula 1	-26,667	9,329	,065	-55,29	1,96
	Formula 3	16,667	9,329	,252	-11,96	45,29
Formula 3	Formula 1	-43,333*	9,329	,008	-71,96	-14,71
	Formula 2	-16,667	9,329	,252	-45,29	11,96

*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Homogeneous Subsets

Viskositas

Tukey HSD^a

Formula	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
Formula 3	3	265,00	
Formula 2	3	281,67	281,67
Formula 1	3		308,33
Sig.		,252	,065

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 3,000.

2. Daya lekat

NPar Tests

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		dayalekat
N		9
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	10,7344
	Std. Deviation	2,78215
	Absolute	,227
Most Extreme Differences	Positive	,227
	Negative	-,137
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		,682
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		,741

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

Oneway

Test of Homogeneity of Variances

dayalekat

Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
,127	2	6	,883

ANOVA

dayalekat

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	2,583	2	1,292	,131	,880
Within Groups	59,340	6	9,890		
Total	61,923	8			

Post Hoc Tests

Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: dayalekat

Tukey HSD

(I) formula	(J) formula	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Formula 1	Formula 2	,42000	2,56775	,985	-7,4586	8,2986
	Formula 3	1,28667	2,56775	,874	-6,5919	9,1652
Formula 2	Formula 1	-,42000	2,56775	,985	-8,2986	7,4586
	Formula 3	,86667	2,56775	,940	-7,0119	8,7452
Formula 3	Formula 1	-1,28667	2,56775	,874	-9,1652	6,5919
	Formula 2	-,86667	2,56775	,940	-8,7452	7,0119

Homogeneous Subsets

dayalekat

Tukey HSD^a

formula	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	
Formula 3	3	10,0167	
Formula 2	3	10,8833	
Formula 1	3	11,3033	
Sig.		,874	

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 3,000.

3. Daya sebar

NPar Tests

One-Sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test

		dayasebar
N		9
Normal Parameters ^{a,b}	Mean	5,9222
	Std. Deviation	,33756
	Absolute	,175
Most Extreme Differences	Positive	,175
	Negative	-,160
Kolmogorov-Smirnov Z		,524
Asymp. Sig. (2-tailed)		,947

a. Test distribution is Normal.

b. Calculated from data.

Oneway

Test of Homogeneity of Variances

dayasebar

Levene Statistic	df1	df2	Sig.
,802	2	6	,491

ANOVA

dayasebar

	Sum of Squares	df	Mean Square	F	Sig.
Between Groups	,761	2	,380	15,118	,005
Within Groups	,151	6	,025		
Total	,912	8			

Post Hoc Tests

Multiple Comparisons

Dependent Variable: dayasebar

Tukey HSD

(I) Formula	(J) Formula	Mean Difference (I-J)	Std. Error	Sig.	95% Confidence Interval	
					Lower Bound	Upper Bound
Formula 1	Formula 2	-,18000	,12950	,403	-,5773	,2173
	Formula 3	-,68667	,12950	,004	-1,0840	-,2893
Formula 2	Formula 1	,18000	,12950	,403	-,2173	,5773
	Formula 3	-,50667	,12950	,018	-,9040	-,1093
Formula 3	Formula 1	,68667	,12950	,004	,2893	1,0840
	Formula 2	,50667	,12950	,018	,1093	,9040

*. The mean difference is significant at the 0.05 level.

Homogeneous Subsets

dayasebar

Tukey HSD^a

Formula	N	Subset for alpha = 0.05	
		1	2
Formula 1	3	5,6333	
Formula 2	3	5,8133	
Formula 3	3		6,3200
Sig.		,403	1,000

Means for groups in homogeneous subsets are displayed.

a. Uses Harmonic Mean Sample Size = 3,000.

Lampiran 12. Uji statistik Independent sample test gel ekstrak etanol kulit buah manggis

1. Viskositas

1.1 Formula 1

T-Test

Group Statistics

	Minggu	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
viskositas	1,00	3	300,00	10,000	5,774
	3,00	3	315,00	1,000	,577

Independent Samples Test

	Levene's Test for Equality of Variances	t-test for Equality of Means								
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
viskositas	Equal variances assumed	3,208	,148	-2,585	4	,061	-15,000	5,802	-31,110	1,110
	Equal variances not assumed			-2,585	2,040	,120	-15,000	5,802	-39,502	9,502

1.2 Formula 2

T-Test

Group Statistics

	Minggu	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
viskositas	1,00	3	270,00	10,000	5,774
	3,00	3	265,00	2,646	1,528

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
viskositas	Equal variances assumed	1,903	,240	,837	4	,450	5,000	5,972	-11,581	21,581
	Equal variances not assumed			,837	2,279	,481	5,000	5,972	-17,908	27,908

1.3 Formula 3

T-Test

Group Statistics

	Minggu	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
viskositas	1,00	3	250,00	20,000	11,547
	3,00	3	280,00	2,646	1,528

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
viskositas	Equal variances assumed	2,868	,166	-2,576	4	,062	-30,000	11,648	-62,339	2,339
	Equal variances not assumed			-2,576	2,070	,119	-30,000	11,648	-78,527	18,527

2. Daya Sebar

2.1 Formula 1

T-Test

Group Statistics

	Minggu	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
dayasebar	1,00	3	5,800	,1732	,1000
	3,00	3	5,433	,1528	,0882

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
dayasebar	Equal variances assumed	,182	,692	2,750	4	,051	,3667	,1333	-,0035	,7369
	Equal variances not assumed			2,750	3,938	,052	,3667	,1333	-,0058	,7392

2.2 Formula 2

T-Test

Group Statistics

	Minggu	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
dayasebar	1,00	3	6,033	,1528	,0882
	3,00	3	5,633	,1528	,0882

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
dayasebar	Equal variances assumed	,000	1,000	3,207	4	,033	,4000	,1247	,0537	,7463
	Equal variances not assumed			3,207	4,000	,033	,4000	,1247	,0537	,7463

2.3 Formula 3.

T-Test

Group Statistics

	Minggu	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
dayasebar	1,00	3	6,400	,2646	,1528
	3,00	3	6,267	,1528	,0882

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
dayasebar	Equal variances assumed	1,600	,275	,756	4	,492	,1333	,1764	-,3564	,6231
	Equal variances not assumed			,756	3,200	,501	,1333	,1764	-,4087	,6753

3. Daya Lekat

3.1 Formula 1

T-Test

Group Statistics

	Minggu	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
dayalekat	1,00	3	8,5100	,09849	,05686
	3,00	3	15,0900	,05292	,03055

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
dayalekat	Equal variances assumed	1,639	,270	-101,937	4	,000	-6,58000	,06455	-6,75922	-6,40078
	Equal variances not assumed			-101,937	3,066	,000	-6,58000	,06455	-6,78295	-6,37705

3.2 Formula 2

T-Test

Group Statistics

	Minggu	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
dayalekat	1,00	3	8,1100	,03606	,02082
	3,00	3	14,9500	,02646	,01528

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
dayalekat	Equal variances assumed	,400	,561	-264,912	4	,000	-6,84000	,02582	-6,91169	-6,76831
	Equal variances not assumed			-264,912	3,670	,000	-6,84000	,02582	-6,91431	-6,76569

3.3 Formula 3

T-Test

Group Statistics

	Minggu	N	Mean	Std. Deviation	Std. Error Mean
dayalekat	1,00	3	7,6900	,09849	,05686
	3,00	3	13,0100	,10583	,06110

Independent Samples Test

		Levene's Test for Equality of Variances		t-test for Equality of Means						
		F	Sig.	t	df	Sig. (2-tailed)	Mean Difference	Std. Error Difference	95% Confidence Interval of the Difference	
									Lower	Upper
dayale kat	Equal variances assumed	,041	,849	-63,738	4	,000	-5,32000	,08347	-5,55174	-5,08826
	Equal variances not assumed			-63,738	3,980	,000	-5,32000	,08347	-5,55221	-5,08779