

DAFTAR PUSTAKA

- Alabbody, H. H. K., & Al-Ghuraibawi, Z. A. G. 2019. A comparative study between conventional pap smear and liquid-based cytology: A clinco-cytological study of Iraqi women with some health problems of cervix. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 60(11), 2362–2370. <https://doi.org/10.24996/ij.s.2019.60.11.6>
- Alkan-Kuşabbi, *et al.* 2018. Is liquid-based cervical cytology test more effective than conventional pap smear? *Electronic Journal of General Medicine*, 14(1), 5–8. <https://doi.org/10.29333/ejgm/81871>
- Armadhani, Riska, Mudigdo, A., & Budihastuti, U. R. 2017. Path Analysis on the Determinants of Pap Smear Uptake in Women of Reproductive Age in Tegal, Central Java. *Journal of Maternal and Child Health*, 4(2), 77–86. <https://doi.org/10.26911/thejmch.2019.04.02.03>
- Chandwani, V., *et al.* 2021. Liquid Based Cytology versus Conventional Cytology for Evaluation of Cervical PAP Smear. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Research International*, 33, 22–37. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jpri/2021/v33i22b31395>
- Chen, H., *et al.* 2012. Efficacy of pap test in combination with thinprep cytological test in screening for cervical cancer. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 13(4), 1651–1655. <https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2012.13.4.1651>
- Cummings, M. C., *et al.* 2015. Which are more correctly diagnosed: Conventional Papanicolaou smears or Thinprep samples? A comparative study of 9 years of external quality-assurance testing. *Cancer Cytopathology*, 123(2), 108–116. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncy.21498>
- Ezzat, N., & Abusinna, E. 2019. Comparison between conventional Pap smear and liquid-based cytology in cervical cancer screening. *Egyptian Journal of Pathology*, 39(2), 280. https://doi.org/10.4103/egjp.egjp_36_19
- Garg, V., *et al.* 2016. Conventional pap (papanicoloau) smear cytology in primary screening of cervical lesions & its comparison with manual liquid based cytology. *Indian Journal of Pathology and Oncology*, 3(3), 485. <https://doi.org/10.5958/2394-6792.2016.00090.9>
- Kamarunisha Aboobacker, K., & H Shariff, M. 2020. A comparative study of conventional pap smear with liquid based cytology for early diagnosis of cervical cancer. *IP Archives of Cytology and Histopathology Research*, 5(2), 141–146. <https://doi.org/10.18231/j.achr.2020.029>
- Kamineni, V., *et al.* 2019. Can Liquid Based Cytology (LBC) Completely Replace Conventional Pap Smear in Developing Countries. *Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology of India*, 69(1), 69–76. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13224-018-1123-7>
- Karimi-Zarchi, *et al.* 2013. A comparison of 3 ways of conventional pap smear, liquid-based cytology and colposcopy vs cervical biopsy for early diagnosis of premalignant lesions or cervical cancer in women with abnormal conventional Pap test. *International Journal of Biomedical Science*, 9(4), 205–210. <https://doi.org/10.33545/gynae.2020.v4.i3b.576>
- Kementrian Kesehatan RI. 2016. Program Nasional Gerakan Pencegahan dan Deteksi Dini Kanker Leher Rahim dan Kanker Payudara. *Kementrian Kesehatan RI*, 2015, April, 1–47.

- Khristian, E., & Inderiati, D. 2017. Sitohistoteknologi, Kementrian Kesehatan Republik Indonesia. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 1(1), 1–8.
- Kumari, K. M. ., & Dhananjaya, C. 2017. Comparison of Conventional Cytology and Liquid Based Cytology in Cervical Cancer Screening. *Official Journal of Japan Society of Ningen Dock*, 32(3), 525–529. <https://doi.org/10.11320/ningendock.32.525>
- Lestari, I. A., & Hidayat, B. 2019. Deteksi Dini Conventional Smear Dan Liquid Based Cytology Dalam Upaya Pencegahan Kanker serviks: Literature Review. *Jurnal Kesehatan Reproduksi*, 6(2), 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.22146/jkr.42627>
- Mary, G. 2016. Comparative Analysis of Conventional and Thin Prep Papanicolaou Test. Technical and Economic Aspects. *Annals of Cytology and Pathology*, 1, 018–024. <https://doi.org/10.17352/pjcp.000003>
- Mehta, V., et al. 2009. Pap smear. *Indian Journal of Dermatology, Venereology and Leprology*, 75(2), 214–216. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0378-6323.48686>
- Patidar, B. L., Mendiratta, S., Meena, N., & Meena, S. 2017. *The Comparative Evaluation of Liquid Based Cytology* 05(03), 18343–18348.
- Ramadhaningtyas, A., & Tenggara, A. 2020. Hubungan Seksual Usia Dini Dapat Meningkatkan Risiko Kanker serviks. *Departmen Biostatistika Dan Ilmu Kependudukan Fakultas Kesehatan Masyarakat Universitas Indonesia*, 1, 46–56.
- Sabour, S., et al. 2019. ThinPrep Pap Test for Diagnosis of Cervical Cancer in Early Stages. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Care*, 4(4), 141–144. <https://doi.org/10.31557/apjcc.2019.4.4.141-144>
- Sari, L. 2008. *Pemeriksaan Pap's Smear dengan Metode Thinprep*. 4.
- Sharma, J., et al. 2016. A comparative analysis of conventional and SurePath liquid-based cervicovaginal cytology: A study of 140 cases. *Journal of Cytology*, 33(2), 80–84. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0970-9371.182525>
- Singh, U., et al 2018. *Comparative study between liquid-based cytology & conventional Pap smear for cytological follow up of treated patients of cancer cervix*. May, 517–520. <https://doi.org/10.4103/ijmr.IJMR>
- Syahridayanti. 2012. *Gambaran Pengetahuan, Sikap dan Tindakan Ibu Tentang Pentingnya Pap Smear Sebagai Deteksi Dini Kanker serviks di Wilayah RW 02 Kelurahan Parang Tambung Kecamatan Tamalate Kota Makassar Tahun 2012 Karya*.
- Tambouret, R. H. 2013. The evolution of the papanicolaou smear. *Clinical Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 56(1), 3–9. <https://doi.org/10.1097/GRF.0b013e318282b982>
- Triana, A. W., et al. 2018. PERBEDAAN HASIL PENGEKATAN Esterogen Receptor (ER) SEKRET VAGINA PENDERITA CA CERVIX DENGAN PENGEKATAN IMUNOHISTOKIMIA DAN PAPANICOLAOU. *PERBEDAAN HASIL PENGEKATAN Esterogen Receptor (ER) SEKRET VAGINA PENDERITA CA CERVIX DENGAN PENGEKATAN IMUNOHISTOKIMIA DAN PAPANICOLAOU*, 49, 6–9.